



Natural Environment Issues

Natural Environment Issues	142
E1 Natural Environment Concerns	143
E2 Growth Patterns	145
E3 School Overcrowding	147
E4 Agricultural and Open Space	149
E5 Parks	152
E6 Funding for Outdoor Activities	153
E7 Public Transportation	154
E8 Air Quality.....	155
E9 Water Supply.....	156
E10 Water Quality	157
E11 Energy Use	158

Natural Environment Issues

Environmental issues are important to San Luis Obispo County residents. Many respondents say the natural environment, including the weather, is an important reason for living in the County. In this section, we provide survey data on respondents' perceptions of environmental quality and environmental issues. We also provide objective data on topics such as growth, air and water quality.

In 2006, traffic congestion was the top concern of San Luis Obispo survey respondents, with nearly 83% saying they are "very" or "somewhat" concerned. Since 2001, San Luis Obispo County has seen a 10% increase in the total number of daily vehicle miles traveled. The jurisdictions with the greatest increases in the number of daily vehicle miles traveled were Arroyo Grande, up 69%, and Atascadero, up 30%.

In 2006, over 45% of survey respondents felt that County roads are "fair" or "poor." Additionally, since 2001, the percent of survey respondents who rated the public transportation services as "good", "very good", or "excellent" decreased from 77% to 49% in 2006.

In 2006, seventy-three percent (73%) of San Luis Obispo County respondents were concerned about building in open space. Between 1990 and 2004, all areas of the County have seen growth in the number of dwelling units. The three single areas with the greatest increases were Nipomo (73%), Templeton (72%), and Rural El Pomar (65%). Between 1994 and 2004, 5,589 acres of San Luis Obispo County agricultural acres were converted to urban use, including 352 acres of prime farmland. However, the County has vast open space resources, including over 500,000 acres of non-agricultural open space.

Nearly 25% of the 2006 respondents who reported using County parks or recreation facilities in the past three months had visited them three to five times. In 2006, over 69% of respondents indicated that the parks and recreation activities in San Luis Obispo are "excellent" or "very good." Residents consistently report satisfaction with the County's parks.

When asked about funding for acquiring open spaces and construction of recreational facilities, a majority of respondents in 2001 and 2003 agreed the County should spend more money on both. However, while the number of respondents who agreed remained consistent, in 2003, fewer respondents reported "strongly agree" with those statements than in 2001. Additionally, over 42% of respondents in 2006 felt that land use and zoning in San Luis Obispo County is "fair" or "poor."

Residents who were at least "somewhat" concerned about water quality decreased from 81% in 2001 to 75% in 2006. Most water districts reported a reduction in per capita water consumption from 2000 to 2005, with the largest decrease in Atascadero (11%). While residential, commercial and agricultural electricity consumption remained fairly constant, the per account electricity consumption in the industrial sector decreased by 20% from 2000 to 2005.

Over the past five years, San Luis Obispo County's survey respondents have been the least concerned about the County's air quality, compared to other issues. Since 2000, San Luis Obispo has had only 2 days in which the level of ozone exceeded state air quality standards (both in 2003). Also, the number of days in which level of particulate matter in the air exceeded state standards decreased from a high of 38 days in 2002 to 11 days in 2004.

E1

Natural Environment Concerns

How concerned are you about the following issues in your community?



Building in Open Space

Response	2001	2003	2006
Very concerned	38.6%	40.2%	31.9%
Somewhat concerned	38.0%	35.4%	41.3%
Not at all concerned	23.4%	22.0%	24.8%
Total Respondents	798	522	502

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2006.

Note: Totals may not equal 100% due to respondents answering "Don't know."



Loss of Wildlife Habitat

Response	2001	2003	2006
Very concerned	39.0%	42.3%	36.8%
Somewhat concerned	38.6%	34.3%	41.2%
Not at all concerned	22.5%	22.6%	24.5%
Total Respondents	798	522	502

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2006.

Note: Totals may not equal 100% due to respondents answering "Don't know."



Water Quality

Response	2001	2003	2006
Very concerned	46.9%	44.1%	38.8%
Somewhat concerned	33.8%	34.3%	36.3%
Not at all concerned	19.3%	21.3%	21.0%
Total Respondents	799	522	502

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2006.

Note: Totals may not equal 100% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

 **Air pollution**

Response	2001	2003	2006
Very concerned	31.4%	31.4%	30.9%
Somewhat concerned	37.7%	35.4%	39.1%
Not at all concerned	30.9%	33.1%	29.9%
Total Respondents	806	522	502

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2006.

Note: Totals may not equal 100% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

 **Traffic congestion**

Response	2001	2003	2006
Very concerned	38.0%	32.4%	41.2%
Somewhat concerned	38.3%	39.8%	41.3%
Not at all concerned	23.7%	27.4%	17.3%
Total Respondents	807	522	502

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2006.

Note: Totals may not equal 100% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

E2

Growth Patterns

Urban vs. Rural Development

Area	Dwelling Units 1990	Dwelling Units 2004	New Dwelling Units	90-04 % Change in Units
North Coast				
Cambria	3,081	3,796	715	23.2%
Cayucos	2,133	2,368	235	11.0%
Los Osos	6,097	6,294	197	3.2%
Morro Bay	5,694	6,513	819	14.4%
Total North Coast	17,005	18,971	1,966	11.6%
North County				
Atascadero	8,875	10,505	1,630	18.4%
Heritage Ranch	1,047	1,353	306	29.2%
Paso Robles	7,599	10,640	3,041	40.0%
San Miguel	451	610	159	35.3%
Santa Margarita	488	534	46	9.4%
Templeton	1,100	1,889	789	71.7%
Rural Adelaida	650	935	285	43.8%
Rural El Pomar	1,710	2,823	1,113	65.1%
Rural Las Pilitas	709	817	108	15.2%
Rural Nacimiento	761	849	88	11.6%
Rural Salinas River	3,101	3,559	458	14.8%
Total North County	26,491	34,514	8,023	30.3%
San Luis Obispo City	17,887	19,962	2,075	11.6%
South County				
Arroyo Grande	6,059	7,227	1,168	19.3%
Grover Beach	4,941	5,589	648	13.1%
Nipomo	2,386	4,125	1,739	72.9%
Oceano	2,433	2,745	312	12.8%
Pismo Beach	4,548	5,697	1,149	25.3%
Rural South County	2,510	3,255	745	29.7%
Total South County	22,877	28,638	5,761	25.2%

Source: San Luis Obispo Department of Planning and Building, Annual Resource Summary Report, 2005.

Traffic Volume (22 Monitored Segments)

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005
Peak Hour Traffic Volume	17,033	14,545	15,533	15,152	16,183	15,988
Households	92,739	93,894	95,600	97,306	98,500	100,494
Peak Hour Traffic Volume per Household	0.18	0.15	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.16

Source: San Luis Obispo County Department of Public Works, 2006. Household figures: State of California, Department of Finance, E5 Population and Housing Estimates for Cities, Counties and the State, 2001-2006, with 2000 Benchmark. Sacramento, California, May 2006.

Note: Measure of total peak hour traffic volume for 22 road segments in the county-maintained road network.

Daily Vehicle Miles Traveled by Jurisdiction

Jurisdiction	2001	2002	2003	2004	01-04 % Change
Arroyo Grande	120,420	137,140	204,630	203,150	68.7%
Atascadero	217,210	265,180	304,870	282,500	30.1%
Grover Beach	100,070	106,320	103,040	102,470	2.4%
Morro Bay	112,160	122,120	119,380	118,300	5.5%
Paso Robles	209,990	233,970	223,060	246,090	17.2%
Pismo Beach	60,880	66,660	67,540	66,560	9.3%
San Luis Obispo	418,670	462,780	460,700	454,930	8.7%
County (Unincorporated)	1,127,460	1,246,660	1,177,260	1,185,950	5.2%
State Highway	4,830,450	4,955,860	5,187,470	5,263,320	9.0%
State Park Service	7,510	8,330	8,000	7,890	5.1%
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	NA	9,420	8,040	7,640	NA
U.S. Forest Service	1,350	1,790	1,530	1,450	7.4%
San Luis Obispo County Total	7,206,170	7,616,230	7,865,520	7,940,240	10.2%

Source: California Department of Transportation (CalTrans), 2004 California Public Road Data, 2005.

Note: CalTrans calculates Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) by multiplying the length of each given road segment by its traffic volume in a day.



How would you rate SLO county in the following area: Roads

Response	2006
Excellent	2.0%
Very Good	12.0%
Good	39.1%
Fair	27.0%
Poor	18.8%
Total Respondents	502

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2006.

Note: Totals may not equal 100% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

E3

School Overcrowding

Percentage of Students in Overcrowded Schools

San Luis Obispo County	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001
	76.9%	77.8%	74.9%	62.1%	63.7%	61.7%	64.3%	41.3%

Source: San Luis Obispo County Department of Planning and Building, 2003.



New data not available

Enrollment vs. Capacity 2005-2006, by School

District	School	Capacity	Enrollment	Enrollment as percentage of capacity	RLOS ¹
Cayucos Elementary	Cayucos Elementary	240	198	83%	OK
Shandon Unified	Shandon Elementary	174	244	140%	III
	Shandon Jr/Sr H.S.	124	131	106%	III
Coast Unified	Cambria Elementary	360	342	95%	II
	Santa Lucia Middle	103	179	174%	III
	Coast Union H.S.	506	342	68%	OK
San Miguel Joint Union	K-5 and K-8	370	472	128%	III
Paso Robles	Paso Robles Elementary (6)	3,543	2,762	80%	OK
	Paso Robles Middle (2)	1,170	1,458	125%	III
	Paso Robles H.S.	1,836	2,236	122%	III
Templeton Unified	Templeton Elementary (2)	955	858	90%	II
	Templeton Middle	545	544	100%	III
	Templeton H.S.	720	789	110%	III
Atascadero Unified	Atascadero Elementary (4)	1,708	1,926	113%	III
	Atascadero Jr. High	1,086	712	66%	OK
	Atascadero H.S.	1,824	1,692	93%	II
	Carrisa Plains K-8	53	49	92%	I
	Creston Elementary	40	98	245%	III
	Santa Margarita Elementary	358	293	82%	OK

Enrollment vs. Capacity 2005-2006, by School (continued)

San Luis Coastal Unified	Los Osos Elementary (2)	950	751	79%	OK
	Los Osos Middle	800	488	61%	OK
	Morro Bay H.S.	1,200	956	80%	OK
	Morro Bay Elementary	500	427	85%	OK
	SLO Area Elementary (7)	2,946	2,317	79%	OK
	Laguna Middle	850	755	89%	I
	San Luis H.S.	1,550	1,567	101%	III
Bellevue-Santa Fe	K-8 (Charter)	170	146	86%	OK
Lucia Mar Unified	Five Cities Elementary (8)	3,541	3,838	108%	III
	5-Cities Middle (2)	1,150	1,191	104%	III
	Arroyo Grande H.S.	1,500	2,220	148%	III
	Nipomo Elementary (2)	1,050	1,339	128%	III
	Nipomo Middle	660	769	117%	III
	Nipomo H.S.	1,025	1,262	123%	III

Source: San Luis Obispo County Department of Planning and Building, Annual Resource Summary Report, 2005.

¹RLOS stands for "Recommended Level of Severity." Levels include:

Level I: When enrollment projections reach school capacity within 7 years

Level II: When enrollment projections reach school capacity within 5 years

Level III: When enrollment equals or exceeds school capacity

OK: when a school is not at its capacity, nor do enrollment projections reach school capacity within 7 years

E4 Agricultural and Open Space

Harvested, Pasture, and Total Acreage

Acreage (in thousands)	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	00-05 % Change
Harvested Acreage	107.6	111.8	111.3	111.7	103.1	113.2	5.2%
Pasture Acreage	1,066.5	1,067.7	1,062.5	1,065.0	1,048.9	1,051.3	-1.4%
Total	1174.1	1,179.5	1,173.8	1,176.7	1,152.0	1,164.5	-0.8%

Source: San Luis Obispo Department of Agriculture/Weights and Measures, Annual Report, 2001-2005.

San Luis Obispo County Agricultural Land by Category

Type of Land	1994	1996	1998	2000	2002	2004	02-04 ¹ Net Change
Total Important Farmland:	359,500	357,602	357,772	355,543	279,061	276,366	-2,695
- Prime Farmland	39,978	40,208	39,895	41,391	41,294	40,508	-786
- Statewide Importance	11,940	11,658	13,912	17,262	19,357	19,750	393
- Unique	26,803	26,749	30,098	34,979	38,613	35,697	-2,916
- Local Importance	280,779	278,987	273,867	261,911	179,797	180,411	614
Grazing Land	622,835	662,367	661,939	661,737	749,786	750,810	1,024
Total Acres of Agricultural Land	1,022,335	1,019,969	1,019,711	1,017,280	1,028,847	1,027,176	-1,671
- Percent Agricultural Land	48.1%	48.0%	48.0%	47.8%	48.4%	48.3%	-0.1
Total Acres of Urban and Built up Land	35,997	37,054	37,184	39,380	41,361	42,124	763
- Percent Urban and Built up Land	1.7%	1.7%	1.7%	1.9%	1.9%	2.0%	0.1

Source: California Department of Conservation, Division of Land Resource Protection, Farmland Conversion Reports, 2006.

Note: Reports are published biennially (every two years), and are available two years after the data they address. County acreage used to calculate percents is that used by San Luis Obispo Department of Planning: 2,126,339.

¹ Net change is calculated from 2002 to 2004 because acreage data changed in 2002 due to the incorporation of digital soil survey data (SSURGO).

Agricultural Land Converted to Urban Use in Acres

Type of Land	1994-1996	1996-1998	1998-2000	2000-2002	2002-2004	94-04 Net Conversion
Prime Farmland	65	1	94	161	31	352
Statewide Importance	64	0	64	80	13	221
Unique	17	26	40	43	53	179
Local Importance	604	74	1,366	632	383	3,059
Grazing Land	258	0	862	471	187	1,778
Total Agricultural Acres Converted	1,008	101	2,426	1,387	667	5,589

Source: California Department of Conservation, Division of Land Resource Protection, Farmland Conversion Reports, 2006.

County-wide Open Space Inventory, 2006

Open Space Resources	Acres	Preserved Lands Acreage	Temporarily Preserved Lands Acreage	County Lands Not in Preserve Acreage
Public Lands - Federal				
US Fish and Wildlife Service	2,649	2,649		
US Forest Service	1,995	1,995		
US Bureau of Land Management	48,211	48,211		
US Military	24,608	NA	24,608	
Other Federal Lands/Lands zoned "OS"	366,132	190,110	176,022	
Public Lands - State				
Parks and Beaches	5,261	5,261		
Other State Lands/Lands zoned "OS"	67,513	2,434	65,079	
Public Lands - County				
County Lands/Lands zoned "OS"	10,380	1,316	9,064	
Zoning				
Open Space/Balance not accounted for in Public Lands	211,788	17,928		
Agriculture	1,389,857	NA		
Lands Protected by Contract/Easement				
AG Contract - Williamson Act	781,061		781,061	
Open Space Easement	96,789	96,789		
Conservation Easement	2,267	2,267		
Scenic	36	36		
Total County Acreage	2,126,239			
Total by Category		368,996	1,055,834	701,409

Source: San Luis Obispo County Department of Planning and Building, 2006.


**How would you rate San Luis Obispo County in the following area: Land Use and Zoning**

Response	2006
Excellent	1.4%
Very Good	9.7%
Good	31.5%
Fair	30.8%
Poor	11.5%
Total Respondents	502

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2006.

Note: Totals may not equal 100% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

E5 Parks

 **In the past 3 months, how many times have you visited any outdoor recreation facility in San Luis Obispo County such as a park, trail, or beach?**

Response	2001	2003	2006
None	21.8%	12.5%	13.6%
1 to 2	15.4%	15.5%	15.7%
3 to 5	22.3%	20.3%	24.9%
6 to 10	14.7%	15.9%	15.7%
11 to 25	13.4%	16.5%	16.9%
26 to 50	8.0%	7.7%	6.5%
More than 50	4.5%	11.3%	5.8%
Total Respondents	804	522	502

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Surveys, 2001, 2003 and 2006.

Note: Totals may not equal 100% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

 **How would you rate San Luis Obispo County in the following area: Parks and Recreation Opportunities**

Response	2001	2003	2006
Excellent	25.3%	29.9%	33.9%
Very Good	40.6%	40.8%	35.6%
Good	26.2%	21.5%	23.0%
Fair	6.6%	3.8%	4.6%
Poor	1.3%	1.7%	0.9%
Total Respondents	789	522	502

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2006.

Note: Totals may not equal 100% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

E6

Funding for Outdoor Activities

Please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements:



“The county should spend more money to: Buy and preserve open spaces”

Response	2001	2003
Strongly agree	30.1%	21.5%
Agree	26.8%	35.4%
Neutral	24.9%	25.3%
Disagree	12.3%	10.5%
Strongly disagree	5.8%	4.8%
Total Respondents	794	522

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001 and 2003.

Note: Totals may not equal 100% due to respondents answering “Don’t know.”



New data not available



“The county should spend more money to: Construct public recreation facilities”

Response	2001	2003
Strongly agree	22.3%	15.3%
Agree	39.7%	44.8%
Neutral	26.2%	21.3%
Disagree	8.7%	13.2%
Strongly disagree	3.0%	2.3%
Total Respondents	790	522

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001 and 2003.

Note: Totals may not equal 100% due to respondents answering “Don’t know.”



New data not available

E7

Public Transportation



How would you rate San Luis Obispo County in the following area: Public Transportation Services

Response	2001	2003	2006
Excellent	14.0%	10.8%	7.3%
Very good	30.1%	26.2%	17.6%
Good	32.4%	35.5%	24.3%
Fair	12.3%	19.2%	13.6%
Poor	11.1%	8.3%	11.5%
Total Respondents	592	410	502

Source: ACTION for Healthy Communities, Telephone Survey, 2001, 2003 and 2006.

Note: Totals may not equal 100% due to respondents answering "Don't know."

E8

Air Quality

Summary: Total Days Exceeding State Air Quality Standards

Pollutant	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Ozone	0	27	2	0	0	0	2	0
Particulate Matter (PM10)	26	17	10	27	32	38	12	11

Number of Days Exceeding Safe Levels of Ozone

Location	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Atascadero	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Morro Bay	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Grover Beach	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Nipomo	0	NA	0	0	0	0	1	0
Paso Robles	0	25	1	0	0	0	1	0

Number of Days Exceeding Safe Levels of Particulate Matter

Location	1997	1998	1999	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
Atascadero	1	0	0	2	2	2	1	0
Nipomo	NA	0	0	0	2	2	4	2
Paso Robles	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	0
San Luis Obispo	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Ralco Way	16	12	5	16	17	26	NA	NA
Morro Bay	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
Guadalupe Road (Nipomo)	5	4	4	7	9	5	4	9

Source: San Luis Obispo County Department of Planning and Building, Annual Resource Summary Report 2005.

Note: The table shows the number of days when monitoring stations found air quality exceeded the State Ambient Air Quality Standards (SAAQS), not federal standards. Ozone monitoring takes place every day, while particulate matter is tested every sixth day. County-wide totals are the number of days a standard was exceeded at any monitoring station. Because a standard can be exceeded at more than one station on a given day, the county-wide total can be less than the sum of the individual monitoring stations. The Nipomo South Wilson Monitoring station closed in 1997 and reopened nearby at the Regional Park (Tefft and Pomeroy) site in 1998.

Particulate Matter (PM10) refers to the mixture of solid particles and liquid droplets found in the air that are less than 10 microns in size. Studies have linked high levels of particulate matter to aggravated asthma and acute respiratory symptoms, chronic bronchitis, decreased lung function, and premature death. In San Luis Obispo County, the single largest source of PM 10 is dust from vehicles driving on unpaved roads. Other sources can be grinding operations, fuel combustion, agricultural burning, and wood stoves.

E9 Water Supply

Per Capita Water Consumption (in gallons per day)

Water District	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	00-05 % Change
Atascadero	212	209	213	205	206	188	-11.3%
Cambria	114	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	-
Morro Bay	103	107	108	108	105	106	2.9%
Oceano	121	103	108	109	113	112	-12.9%
Paso Robles	251	238	254	247	242	236	-6.0%
Pismo Beach	NA	199	201	205	207	202	-
City of San Luis Obispo	124	119	121	120	126	122	-1.6%

Source: Atascadero Mutual Water Company; Cambria Community Services District; City of Morro Bay, Water Services; Oceano Community Services District, City of Paso Robles, Water Division; City of Pismo Beach; City of San Luis Obispo, Utilities Department, 2006.

Community Water Supply and Distribution, 2005

Community	RLOS ¹		Reason
	Supply	System	
Avila Beach	None	None	
Cambria	III	III	Seasonal supply shortage, storage capacity
Cayucos	II	II	LOS II for supply certified by Board of Supervisors LOS II for system based on CSA#10A allocation
Garden Farms	None	II	Inadequate pumping capacity during drought
Heritage Ranch	None	None	
Los Ranchos/Edna	II	None	Possible basin overdraft (SLO Creek)
Nipomo	III	None	LOS II certified by Board of Supervisors
Oceano	None	None	
San Miguel	None	II	Demand is approaching pumping capacity
San Simeon	III	III	CSD moratorium; supply uncertainty during drought
Santa Margarita	III	III	Supply uncertainty; backup deficiency
Shandon	None	None	
Los Osos	III	III	Overdraft and current seawater intrusion
Templeton	None	II	Supply fully allocated

Source: San Luis Obispo County Department of Planning and Building, Annual Resource Summary Report, 2005.

¹RLOS stands for "Recommended Level of Severity." Levels include:

Level of Severity I: When projected water demand over the next 9 years equals or exceeds the estimated dependable supply.

Level of Severity II: When projected water demand over the next 7 years equals or exceeds the estimated dependable supply.

Level of Severity III: When the existing water demand equals or exceeds the dependable supply.

E10

Water Quality

Environmental Health Violation Compliance Rate: Bacteriological Water Quality Compliance Program Summary

Routine Samples from State Small Water Systems¹ and Small Public Water Systems²

Fiscal Year Activity Dates	Number of Violations	Number of Regular Inspection Visits or Samples	Items Checked at Each Inspection	Inspection Visits Times Items Checked	Violation Rate	Compliance Rate
7/1/2000 - 6/30/2001	96	1,963	1	1,963	4.9%	95.1%
7/1/2001 - 6/30/2002	87	1,800	1	1,800	4.8%	95.2%
7/1/2002 - 6/30/2003	89	1,843	1	1,843	4.8%	95.2%
7/1/2003 - 6/30/2004	88	1,858	1	1,858	4.7%	95.3%
7/1/2004 - 6/30/2005	86	1,824	1	1,824	4.7%	95.3%
7/1/2005 - 6/30/2006	75	1,767	1	1,767	4.2%	95.8%
Totals	521			11,055	4.7%	95.3%

Source: California Department of Public Health, San Luis Obispo County Department of Public Health, Environmental Health Division, 2007.

¹ 5-14 Service Connections.

² 15-199 Service Connections, Non-community, and Non-transient-Non-community (NTNC) Systems.

Routine Samples from State Large Water Systems

Fiscal Year Activity Dates	Number of Violations	Total Samples collected	Items Checked at Each Inspection	Inspection Visits Times Items Checked	Violation Rate	Compliance Rate
7/1/2000 - 6/30/2001	11	5,397	1	5,397	0.2%	99.8%
7/1/2001 - 6/30/2002	8	5,398	1	5,398	0.1%	99.9%
7/1/2002 - 6/30/2003	3	5,400	1	5,400	0.1%	99.9%
7/1/2003 - 6/30/2004	5	5,400	1	5,400	0.1%	99.9%
7/1/2004 - 6/30/2005	9	5,400	1	5,400	0.2%	99.8%
7/1/2005 - 6/30/2006	15	5,400	1	5,400	0.3%	99.7%
Totals	51			32,395	0.2%	99.8%

Source: California Department of Public Health, San Luis Obispo County Department of Public Health, Environmental Health Division, 2007.

E11 Energy Use

Per Capita Electricity Consumption, Residential (in kilowatt hours)

Sector	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	00-05 % Change
Residential	2,452	2,487	2,130	2,437	2,463	2,462	0.4%

Source: California Energy Commission, Electricity Resources Data Group, 2006.

Per Account Electricity Consumption, by Sector (in kilowatt hours)

Sector	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	00-05 % Change
Commercial	53,629	53,933	51,897	53,777	55,774	56,504	5.4%
Industrial	138,122	126,059	128,796	109,519	114,169	111,220	-19.5%
Agricultural	38,548	37,546	38,885	36,581	37,130	36,052	-6.5%

Source: California Energy Commission, Electricity Resources Data Group, 2006.